

Low Level Laser Therapy (LLLT): A 2008 Review

By Lisa Mills-Hutton; edited by Dr. B. Shay, PhD, PT,

University of Manitoba

Introduction

Physiotherapists are presented with a wide range of treatment techniques and modalities to care for their patients. Low Intensity Laser Therapy (LILT) or Low Level Laser Therapy (LLLT) emits a non-thermal emission which is thought to produce a photochemical reaction in the cells. LLLT has been used in the treatment of a variety of acute and chronic musculoskeletal injuries, degenerative conditions and wound healing. The purpose of this paper is to examine and present the literature regarding the effective use of LLLT as an adjunct to physiotherapy care. The paper aims to establish if there are appropriate application and dosage guidelines to optimize patient outcomes for common pathological conditions. Overall the goal of the paper is to have a basic understanding of LLLT and when it is an effective adjunct therapy.

Low Level Laser Therapy- what is it?

Laser light is produced when an electron of an active medium undergoes a stimulated quantum jump from a higher to a lower energy state, causing an emission of photons. The emitted photons collide with other excited electrons, causing increased

photon emission. This chain reaction of events is the mixture of two inert gases and is referred to as the active medium ¹. Laser light is different from other light forms because it is monochromatic, coherent and directional. Monochromatic (light is all of the same frequency), coherent (wavelengths in the same phase) and directional (light has very little divergence of the beam). These properties allow for a laser beam to focus on a very precise small target and the monochromatic light allows absorption to be targeted to a specific wavelength by photosensitive molecules called chromophores ².

Classes of lasers range from 1 to 5. High intensity laser, known as Class 4 and 5 lasers, heat and destroy tissue. They are used medically for incisions and cautery during surgical procedures. Low intensity lasers known as Class 1 to 3B have less than 500mW power, 50mW/cm² power density and 40 J/cm² energy density and are referred to as low level lasers and are used as adjunct therapy in rehabilitation.

The passage of light into skin is necessary to achieve the physiological effects. Short-wavelength (400-700nm) lasers have visible red light such as Helium-Neon Laser (HeNe) and are highly pigment specific. Longer-wavelength (600-1200nm), invisible infrared lights such as Gallium-Arsenide (Ga-As) lasers are much less pigment-specific and penetrate deeper than the HeNe Lasers. There is consensus that the visible light (He-Ne) penetrates 1-2 mm and invisible light (Ga-As) penetrates 2-4 mm in soft tissue ²⁻⁴. For example, the potential of low intensity infrared laser irradiation applied to the skin over the course of peripheral nerve to significantly affect conduction latencies in that nerve has been demonstrated ⁵. Some manufacturers claim their devices penetrate to depths of 10-13 cm (Super Pulsed Infrared Laser Radiation), however we could find no peer reviewed publications for confirmation.

Two types of probes are used, the most familiar type being the handheld, pencil-like, monodiode probe. The other type of probe, known as cluster probe (multidiode), allows for a larger beam area and therefore a larger treatment area. LLLT is usually applied in contact with the skin and can include manual gridding, manual scanning or single point application. Gridding consists of mapping the entire treatment surface with 1cm^2 surfaces. Scanning involves repeated scanning-like movements of the laser probe over the entire treatment surface. Single point (sometimes referred to as laser acupuncture) occurs over one particular spot on the patient.

Laser light parameters include power (mW), power density (mW/cm^2), energy density (J/cm^2), wavelength (nm), duty cycle (pulsed or continuous), duration (time) and percent of total power^{1;2;4}. The use of “photobiomodulated” therapy or LLLT began back in 1960s by Andreas Mester. They reported a therapeutic response rate of approximately 90% after treating more than a 1000 patients with various chronic and recalcitrant wounds and ulcers using LLLT at doses less than $4\text{ J}/\text{cm}^2$ ¹.

Search Strategy

A literature review was conducted using PubMed/Medline and Cochrane Review databases, the following search terms were utilized:

- Low Level Laser Therapy or LLLT or LILT or Low Intensity Laser Therapy or Laser Therapy
- Laser AND Therapy
- Low AND Level AND Laser AND Therapy

- Therapeutic Agent/ Electrical Modality textbooks were consulted and reference material was investigated

In addition, the following strategies were employed to add to the grey literature/information using Google Search

- Laser Therapy Manufactures OR Laser Therapy Equipment
- Laser Therapy Winnipeg or Laser Winnipeg
- Interview with a Physiotherapist using LLLT in Winnipeg

Therapeutic and Physiological Effects

The two main therapeutic effects for LLLT found in the literature propose increased tissue healing and reduction/control of pain. The mechanisms to achieve the therapeutic effects are still not clearly understood but many have attempted to confirm the effects with in-vitro and in-vivo studies.

Laboratory in-vitro studies suggest short-term activation of the electron transport chain, ATP synthesis, and reduction in cellular pH with the application of LLLT. It is proposed that LLLT initiates reactions at the cell membrane level via photophysical effects on calcium channels. These changes in cell membrane permeability are believed to cause increases in macrophage, fibroblast, and lymphocyte activity observed in LLLT^{6;7} which provide the main indications for use of LLLT as a therapeutic agent. Cellular effects of LLLT were investigated by irradiating human red blood cells to determine if any structural changes occur to the protein and lipid components of red blood cell membranes and its functional properties. The study demonstrated changes in the activity of the membrane ion pumps with transitions of red blood cell membrane proteins and

lipid bi-layers which resulted in the modulation of membrane functional properties, which lead to changes in membrane ion flows ⁸. Another study exposed macrophage like cell cultures to various wavelengths of light. At 660 nm, 820 nm, 870 nm wavelengths the macrophages released factors over a 5-day period to stimulate or inhibit fibroblast proliferation ⁶. Similarly, the effects of delivering various wavelengths (630-905 nm) at different energy densities have been examined on the growth/inhibition of bacteria in-vitro ^{9;10}. Some wavelengths and intensities inhibited bacteria growth and some increased it depending on the type of bacteria present, showing the need for wound cultures prior to treatment with LLLT. Since most cellular mechanism studies with LLLT are done in-vitro, it is unknown if the cellular effects occur in live human models.

The mechanism of pain reduction by laser therapy is not well understood. There is debate on whether the main effect is anti-inflammatory, collagen proliferation, circulation enhancement, analgesic and/or an inhibition of nociceptive signals at peripheral nerves. Anti-inflammatory effects have been demonstrated both in-vitro and in-vivo ¹¹⁻¹⁶.

Contraindications and Adverse Effects

LLLT is a safe and non-thermal modality. There are no published reports of serious adverse effects, however, a few patients do report feelings of tingling, mild erythema, burning sensation, numbness or skin rash in ⁴. Irradiation to the eyes is the main contraindication to LLLT, thus, both the therapist and patient must wear protective goggles to block the emission. Other contraindications include avoiding LLLT four to six months after radiotherapy, hemorrhaging regions and endocrine glands. Caution is

advised when applying LLLT to patients with epilepsy, fever, malignancy, abdomen area during pregnancy, epiphyseal plates of children and infected tissue ⁴.

Clinical Usage in Common Pathological Conditions

Osteoarthritis and Rheumatoid Arthritis

A review on osteoarthritis (OA) and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) was conducted comparing 13 randomized control trials. The results conclude that LLLT may be used for short term relief of pain and morning stiffness in RA but is no better than placebo at reducing pain, morning stiffness, or improving functional status for patients with OA. The authors reported that the method of application was not well documented in many studies making it difficult to compare and determine the effectiveness of LLLT on OA ¹⁷.

Others have reported similar results for treatment of OA with LLLT ^{18;19}, however some studies have shown positive results in relieving pain and disability in degenerative OA of the knee ²⁰, reducing periarticular swelling when compared to placebo ²¹ and improved function and quality of life in people with painful OA of the knee ²².

Plantar Fasciitis

Clinically, there appears to be positive results in treating plantar fasciitis with a combination of cluster and hand-held laser probes as an adjunct in physiotherapy treatment (personal communication, Aikenhead 2008). Consistent with clinical testimony, the laser therapy manufacturers claim LLLT is very effective in treating plantar fasciitis, however there were few studies investigating LLLT on treating plantar

fasciitis found in the literature. A randomized control trial study did not provide positive results to indicate the use of LLLT for plantar fasciitis²³. Although the differences between groups were not significant there appears to be a bias in that the treatment group had more severe symptoms and spent more time spent on their feet compared to the sham laser group. Also, LLLT was applied as the main treatment and not as an adjunct which may explain the lack of difference between the groups. Clearly more work needs to be done in this area to increase confidence in the effective use of LLLT for plantar fasciitis.

Low Back Pain

Positive results in the treatment of low back pain with LLLT include decreased pain and improved function. Two RCTs showed improvements in pain and function, but effects were minimal and lessened over time. Both these studies were completed over a 4-week period with no follow-up period to examine long-term effectiveness of LLLT^{24;25}.

Ankle Sprains

LLLT reduced edema post ankle sprain²⁶, which is consistent with other studies that report a decrease in swelling²⁷⁻²⁹. One other study used LLLT in the treatment of ankle sprains and while the results showed no positive findings, their outcome measures were focused on pain and function, and not swelling³⁰.

Tendinopathies

Results with LLLT in the treatment of tendinopathies are inconsistent. In some studies it has been used with success^{31;32} while others have found no overall effects in the experimental groups³³⁻³⁵. In a review of 18 studies utilizing randomised placebo-controlled trials with laser therapy for tendinopathy, it was concluded that laser therapy can reduce pain in sub acute and chronic tendinopathy if a valid treatment procedure and location-specific dose is used³⁶.

Myofascial Pain

Similarly, studies show conflicting results on outcomes obtained using LLLT for the treatment of myofascial pain. One study demonstrated positive benefits with respect to pain relief and improvement in function³⁷, whereas others have concluded there was no therapeutic effect with the use of LLLT on myofascial pain^{38;39}. Further, LLLT has been shown to be ineffective in reducing delayed onset muscle soreness⁴⁰⁻⁴².

Wound and Ulcer Healing

Laser therapy manufacturers claim to have huge success with LLLT on wound healing. One study showed decreased reoccurrence of herpes simplex infection with the use of laser therapy⁴³, however, the bulk of the literature indicates little evidence that LLLT has a significant effect on wound and ulcer healing⁴⁴⁻⁴⁹.

Summary

LLLT has been in use and studied since the 1960s. To date, the research is still debating the effectiveness of LLLT to treat various pathological conditions. In terms of the

physiological effects of LLLT the in-vitro studies have not been replicated on human models. A few concerns arise when examining the literature on LLLT. Many studies do not publish all their treatment parameters, which makes it difficult for the findings to be translated into clinical practice. The site of application, application method and technique, and the dosage are poorly documented. Thus, few studies meet the systemic review inclusion criteria; this limits attempts to complete meta-analyses on LLLT effectiveness. To date, very few studies have investigated the optimal wavelength, power, frequency and treatment time to obtain a therapeutic effect. Another concern is that many studies compare a laser therapy group to a sham/placebo laser group. Ideally, the study design should incorporate the use of laser as a modality or adjunct as it is intended for use in rehabilitation. Having said this, there is some evidence to suggest that LLLT decreases swelling, reduces pain and improves function. Overall the literature and research on low level laser therapy are insufficient to make conclusions on the effectiveness of laser therapy. Currently the practice of using LLLT is ahead of the evidence to support the use of the technology. This is common for most modalities used in physiotherapy and eventually the research catches up to clinical usage. Some studies may convince the therapist to use LLLT for conditions involving inflammation and for providing pain relief. The missing gaps in the literature will hopefully be filled in the near future to provide further confidence that laser therapy is an effective adjunct treatment modality in the management and healing of neuromusculoskeletal conditions.

Appendix 1

Low Level Laser Therapy in Practice

Costs

1) The Unit

Low Level Laser Therapy System Options:

- Professional System: \$25,000-\$30,000
- Therapeutic System: \$12,000
- Laser Probe (referred to as Home Systems);\$3,500-\$7,000

Certified Training: \$495 weekend training throughout Canada

Life span of units: 5000-20000 hours predetermined by manufacturer

Calibration and Maintenance: Annual maintenance and calibration. Many manufacturers provide a one or two-year warranty.

2) Additional Treatment Time: Treatment times are poorly documented but range anywhere from 2 minutes to 45 minutes. The use of hand-held probe lasers also requires a laser technician to apply the laser for the entire treatment time. The treatment time cost must include the additional therapist/technician time and the additional treatment time for the patient.

Reference List

1. Belanger A-Y. Evidence-Based Guide to Therapeutic Physical Evidence. Baltimore: Lippincott Williams & Wilkens, 2002.
2. Robertson V, Ward A, Low J, Reed A. Electrotherapy Explained Principles and Practice. London: Elsevier, 2006.
3. Schindl A, Schindl M, Pernerstorfer-Schon H, Schindl L. Low-intensity laser therapy: a review. *J Investig.Med.* 2000;**48**:312-26.
4. Cameron M. Physical Agents in Rehabilitation From Research to Practice. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier, 2003.
5. Baxter GD, Walsh DM, Allen JM, Lowe AS, Bell AJ. Effects of low intensity infrared laser irradiation upon conduction in the human median nerve in vivo. *Exp.Physiol.* 1994;**79**:227-34.
6. Young S, Bolton P, Dyson M, Harvey W, Diamantopoulos C. Macrophage responsiveness to light therapy. *Lasers Surg.Med.* 1989;**9**:497-505.
7. Abergel RP, Meeker CA, Lam TS, Dwyer RM, Lesavoy MA, Uitto J. Control of connective tissue metabolism by lasers: recent developments and future prospects. *J Am Acad.Dermatol.* 1984;**11**:1142-50.
8. Kujawa J, Zavodnik L, Zavodnik I, Buko V, Lapshyna A, Bryszewska M. Effect of low-intensity (3.75-25 J/cm²) near-infrared (810 nm) laser radiation on red blood cell ATPase activities and membrane structure. *J Clin.Laser Med Surg.* 2004;**22**:111-7.
9. Nussbaum EL, Lilge L, Mazzulli T. Effects of 630-, 660-, 810-, and 905-nm laser irradiation delivering radiant exposure of 1-50 J/cm² on three species of bacteria in vitro. *J Clin.Laser Med Surg.* 2002;**20**:325-33.
10. Nussbaum EL, Lilge L, Mazzulli T. Effects of low-level laser therapy (LLLT) of 810 nm upon in vitro growth of bacteria: relevance of irradiance and radiant exposure. *J Clin.Laser Med Surg.* 2003;**21**:283-90.
11. Aimbire F, Albertini R, Pacheco MT, Castro-Faria-Neto HC, Leonardo PS, Iversen VV *et al.* Low-level laser therapy induces dose-dependent reduction of TNFalpha levels in acute inflammation. *Photomed.Laser Surg.* 2006;**24**:33-7.
12. Albertini R, Villaverde AB, Aimbire F, Salgado MA, Bjordal JM, Alves LP *et al.* Anti-inflammatory effects of low-level laser therapy (LLLT) with two different red

- wavelengths (660 nm and 684 nm) in carrageenan-induced rat paw edema. *J Photochem.Photobiol.B.* 2007;**89**:50-5.
13. Albertini R, Villaverde AB, Aimbire F, Bjordal J, Brugnera A, Mittmann J *et al.* Cytokine mRNA expression is decreased in the subplantar muscle of rat paw subjected to carrageenan-induced inflammation after low-level laser therapy. *Photomed.Laser Surg.* 2008;**26**:19-24.
 14. Bjordal JM, Lopes-Martins RA, Iversen VV. A randomised, placebo controlled trial of low level laser therapy for activated Achilles tendinitis with microdialysis measurement of peritendinous prostaglandin E2 concentrations. *Br J Sports Med.* 2006;**40**:76-80.
 15. Fikackova H, Dostalova T, Vosicka R, Peterova V, Navratil L, Lesak J. Arthralgia of the temporomandibular joint and low-level laser therapy. *Photomed.Laser Surg.* 2006;**24**:522-7.
 16. Freitas AC, Pinheiro AL, Miranda P, Thiers FA, Vieira AL. Assessment of anti-inflammatory effect of 830nm laser light using C-reactive protein levels. *Braz.Dent.J.* 2001;**12**:187-90.
 17. Brosseau L, Welch V, Wells G, Tugwell P, de Bie R, Gam A *et al.* Low level laser therapy for osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis: a metaanalysis. *J Rheumatol.* 2000;**27**:1961-9.
 18. Wang, Grace. Low Level Laser Therapy Technology Assessment. 1-34. 3-5-2004. USA, Office of the Medical Director, Department of Labor and Industries.
 19. Tascioglu F, Armagan O, Tabak Y, Corapci I, Oner C. Low power laser treatment in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Swiss.Med Wkly.* 2004;**134**:254-8.
 20. Stelian J, Gil I, Habet B. Improvement of Pain and Disability with Degenerative OA of the Knee Treated with Narrow Band Light Therapy. *J Am Geriatric Society* 1992;**40**:23-6.
 21. M.Yurtkuran, A.Alp, S.Konur, S.Ozcakir, U.Bingol. Laser Acupuncture in Knee Osteoarthritis: A Double-Blind, Randomized Controlled Study. *Photomedicine and Laser Surgery* 2007;**25**:14-20.
 22. Gur A, Cosut A, Sarac AJ, Cevik R, Nas K, Uyar A. Efficacy of different therapy regimes of low-power laser in painful osteoarthritis of the knee: a double-blind and randomized-controlled trial. *Lasers Surg.Med.* 2003;**33**:330-8.
 23. Basford JR, Malanga GA, Krause DA, Harmsen WS. A randomized controlled evaluation of low-intensity laser therapy: plantar fasciitis. *Arch.Phys.Med Rehabil.* 1998;**79**:249-54.

24. Basford JR, Sheffield CG, Harmsen WS. Laser therapy: a randomized, controlled trial of the effects of low-intensity Nd:YAG laser irradiation on musculoskeletal back pain. *Arch.Phys.Med Rehabil.* 1999;**80**:647-52.
25. Gur A, Karakoc M, Cevik R, Nas K, Sarac AJ, Karakoc M. Efficacy of low power laser therapy and exercise on pain and functions in chronic low back pain. *Lasers Surg.Med.* 2003;**32**:233-8.
26. Stergioulas A. Low-level laser treatment can reduce edema in second degree ankle sprains. *J Clin.Laser Med Surg.* 2004;**22**:125-8.
27. Bortone F, Santos HA, Albertini R, Pesquero JB, Costa MS, Silva JA, Jr. Low level laser therapy modulates kinin receptors mRNA expression in the subplantar muscle of rat paw subjected to carrageenan-induced inflammation. *Int.Immunopharmacol.* 2008;**8**:206-10.
28. Barbosa AM, Villaverde AB, Guimaraes-Souza L, Ribeiro W, Cogo JC, Zamuner SR. Effect of low-level laser therapy in the inflammatory response induced by Bothrops jararacussu snake venom. *Toxicon.* 2008;**51**:1236-44.
29. Markovic A, Todorovic L. Effectiveness of dexamethasone and low-power laser in minimizing oedema after third molar surgery: a clinical trial. *Int.J Oral Maxillofac.Surg.* 2007;**36**:226-9.
30. de Bie RA, de Vet HC, Lenssen TF, van den Wildenberg FA, Kootstra G, Knipschild PG. Low-level laser therapy in ankle sprains: a randomized clinical trial. *Arch.Phys.Med Rehabil.* 1998;**79**:1415-20.
31. England S, Farrell AJ, Coppock JS, Struthers G, Bacon PA. Low power laser therapy of shoulder tendonitis. *Scand.J Rheumatol.* 1989;**18**:427-31.
32. Stergioulas A, Stergioula M, Aarskog R, Lopes-Martins RA, Bjordal JM. Effects of low-level laser therapy and eccentric exercises in the treatment of recreational athletes with chronic achilles tendinopathy. *Am J Sports Med.* 2008;**36**:881-7.
33. Andres BM, Murrell GA. Treatment of tendinopathy: what works, what does not, and what is on the horizon. *Clin.Orthop.Relat Res.* 2008;**466**:1539-54.
34. Siebert W, Seichert N, Siebert B, Wirth CJ. What is the efficacy of "soft" and "mid" lasers in therapy of tendinopathies? A double-blind study. *Arch.Orthop.Trauma Surg.* 1987;**106**:358-63.
35. Vecchio P, Cave M, King V, Adebajo AO, Smith M, Hazleman BL. A double-blind study of the effectiveness of low level laser treatment of rotator cuff tendinitis. *Br J Rheumatol.* 1993;**32**:740-2.
36. Bjordal JM, Lopes-Martins RA, Joensen J, Ljunggren AE, Couppe C, Stergioulas A *et al.* A systematic review with procedural assessments and meta-analysis of Low

- Level Laser Therapy in lateral elbow tendinopathy (tennis elbow). *BMC.Musculoskelet.Disord.* 2008;**9**:75.
37. Gur A, Sarac AJ, Cevik R, Altindag O, Sarac S. Efficacy of 904 nm gallium arsenide low level laser therapy in the management of chronic myofascial pain in the neck: a double-blind and randomize-controlled trial. *Lasers Surg.Med.* 2004;**35**:229-35.
 38. Thorsen H, Gam AN, Jensen H, Hojmark L, Wahlstrom L. [Low energy laser treatment--effect in localized fibromyalgia in the neck and shoulder regions]. *Ugeskr.Laeger.* 1991;**153**:1801-4.
 39. Thorsen H, Gam AN, Svensson BH, Jess M, Jensen MK, Piculell I *et al.* Low level laser therapy for myofascial pain in the neck and shoulder girdle. A double-blind, cross-over study. *Scand.J Rheumatol.* 1992;**21**:139-41.
 40. Craig JA, Barlas P, Baxter GD, Walsh DM, Allen JM. Delayed-onset muscle soreness: lack of effect of combined phototherapy/low-intensity laser therapy at low pulse repetition rates. *J Clin.Laser Med Surg.* 1996;**14**:375-80.
 41. Craig JA, Barron J, Walsh DM, Baxter GD. Lack of effect of combined low intensity laser therapy/phototherapy (CLILT) on delayed onset muscle soreness in humans. *Lasers Surg.Med.* 1999;**24**:223-30.
 42. Glasgow PD, Hill ID, McKeivitt AM, Lowe AS, Baxter D. Low intensity monochromatic infrared therapy: a preliminary study of the effects of a novel treatment unit upon experimental muscle soreness. *Lasers Surg.Med.* 2001;**28**:33-9.
 43. Schindl A, Neumann R. Low-intensity laser therapy is an effective treatment for recurrent herpes simplex infection. Results from a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study. *J Invest Dermatol.* 1999;**113**:221-3.
 44. Flemming KA, Cullum NA, Nelson EA. A systematic review of laser therapy for venous leg ulcers. *J Wound.Care.* 1999;**8**:111-4.
 45. Flemming K, Cullum N. Laser therapy for venous leg ulcers. *Cochrane.Database.Syst.Rev.* 2000;CD001182.
 46. Franek A, Krol P, Kucharzewski M. Does low output laser stimulation enhance the healing of crural ulceration? Some critical remarks. *Med Eng Phys.* 2002;**24**:607-15.
 47. Kopera D, Kokol R, Berger C, Haas J. Low level laser: does it influence wound healing in venous leg ulcers? A randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study. *Br J Dermatol.* 2005;**152**:1368-70.
 48. Kopera D, Kokol R, Berger C, Haas J. Does the use of low-level laser influence wound healing in chronic venous leg ulcers? *J Wound.Care.* 2005;**14**:391-4.

49. Lucas C, van Gemert MJ, de Haan RJ. Efficacy of low-level laser therapy in the management of stage III decubitus ulcers: a prospective, observer-blinded multicentre randomised clinical trial. *Lasers Med Sci.* 2003;**18**:72-7.